



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7472
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of pollinators and pollination on fruit set and fruit yield of okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L.) Moench) in the forest region of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript falls within the scope of the journal, and, has a background pollinators and pollination on fruit set. It contains new data; it does not repeat already published works. Design, measurements and analyses are sound and appropriate. Title and abstract are brief and satisfactory. Introduction includes appropriate background or literature review. The objective & method are clear, adequate and appropriate. Tables are readable & suitable. Conclusions are supported by the data. Discussion gives proper credit to related works and indicates clearly the authors own contribution. Writing style of the manuscript is academic and clear.	Thank so much.